

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/724,255	ZAUDERER, BERT	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application:</b> <i>pending</i>
--------------------------	--

(1) Timothy C. Vanoy. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Andrew Calderon, applicant's attorney. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 March 2007      **Time:** 1:35 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*pending*

Prior art documents discussed:

*US 2002/0061270 A1*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Timothy C Vanoy*

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Various amendments to the pending claims were discussed, resulting in a mutually acceptable Examiner's Amendment which was authorized for entry by the attorney: please see the official Examiner's Amendment for specific details. The examiner considers that the sequential operation of the processes at the claimed temperature ranges/values distinguish the applicants' claims from US 2002/0061270 A1..